



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,392	11/25/2003	Il Rog Heo	K-0569	4273
34610	7590	09/21/2006	EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153			KURTZ, BENJAMIN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/720,392	HEO, IL ROG	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Benjamin Kurtz	1723	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 August 2006.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Drawings***

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 42.
2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 121c, 123b, 200 and 221. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### ***Specification***

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Spelling and grammatical errors in paragraphs 33, 34, 67, 71 and 73. In paragraphs 37-39 the outlet and the partition wall are both given the reference number 113.

Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –


(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-11 and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoo KR 1994-0006388. Regarding claim1, Yoo teaches a filter for a washing machine comprising: a cap (11) fitted to a filter case having an opening via which water passes, a shaft (18) extending from a bottom of the cap, an extension (19) extending from and end of the shaft, the extension disposed to confront the opening to filter particles included in the water, the extension having a protrusion (20) protruding from a side of the extension opposite the cap wherein the protrusion extends away from the cap and has a diameter that is smaller than a largest diameter portion of the extension (fig. 4).


Regarding claims 2-3, 5, 29 and 30, the circumferential cross-section of the protrusion is a closed curve (fig. 4); the protrusion has a ring type circumferential cross-section (fig. 4); a diameter of the an end portion of the extension is equal to or greater than a diameter of the opening (fig. 5); the extension comprises a solid surface that is configured such that water passing through the opening is forced to move around outside edges of the extension (fig. 5); and external edges of the extension and the protrusion form concentric circles (fig. 4 and 5).

Regarding claim 6, Yoo teaches a filter assembly for a washing machine, comprising: a filter case comprising: an inlet (24) and an outlet (25) on a circumference and an opening inside, and a filter loaded in the filter case, the filter comprising: a cap (11) fitted to the filter case, a shaft (18) extending from a bottom of the cap and a solid walled extension (19) extending from an end of the shaft, the extension disposed to confront the opening to filter particles in water, the extension having a protrusion (20) protruding from a side of the extension opposite the cap (fig. 4 and 5).

Regarding claims 7-8, 10-13, 31 and 32, a circumferential cross-section of the protrusion is a closed curve (fig. 4); the protrusion has a ring type circumferential cross-section (fig. 4); a diameter of an end portion of the extension is equal to or greater than a diameter of the opening (fig. 5); the filter case is cylindrical (fig. 4); the inlet is provided to the circumference of the filter case in a tangential direction (fig. 4 and 5); the filter comprises a tube having an inlet and outlet on the circumference, a partition wall provided in the tube to partition an internal space of the tube into first and second chambers communicating with the inlet and outlet and the opening perforating the partition wall (see figure on next page); the protrusion extends from the extension in a direction opposite the cap (fig. 4 and 5); a largest diameter portion of the extension surrounds the protrusion (fig. 4 and 5).



5. Claims 1, 4, 6, 9, 22 and 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beier et al. DE 39 22 629 A1. Regarding claims 1 and 4, Beier teaches a filter for a washing machine comprising: a cap (20) fitted to a filter case having an opening via which water passes, a shaft (11) extending from a bottom of the cap, and a funnel shaped extension extending from an end of the shaft, the extension disposed to confront the opening to the filter particles included in the water, the extension having a protrusion protruding from a side of the extension opposite to the cap wherein the protrusion extends away from the cap and has a diameter that is smaller than a largest diameter portion of the extension (fig. 1 and figure on next page).



Regarding claims 6 and 9, Beier teaches a filter assembly for a washing machine comprising: a filter case (1) having an inlet (4) and an outlet (5) on a circumference, and an opening inside and a filter loaded in the filter case the filter comprising: a cap (20) fitted to the filter case, a shaft (11) extending from a bottom of the cap, and a funnel shaped solid walled extension extending from an end of the shaft, the extension disposed to confront the opening to the filter particles included in the water, the extension having a protrusion protruding from a side of the extension opposite to the cap (fig. 1 and figure above).

Regarding claim 22, Beier teaches a filter for a washing machine comprising: a filter case (1) having a filter chamber with an opening (9), a filter element mounted in the filter chamber, the filter element comprises: a cap (20), a shaft (11), and an extension formed on an end of the shaft opposite the cap, the extension comprises a generally conical shaped solid surface having a diameter that increases in a direction extending

away from the cap, and wherein the largest diameter portion of the extension is positioned immediately adjacent the opening (9) (see figure above).

Regarding claim 23, Beier teaches the solid surface of the extension is configured to force all water escaping from the filter chamber through the opening to pass around exterior edges of the extension. The passage in the extension is exterior to the shaft.

Regarding claims 24-28, a largest diameter portion of the extension has a diameter that is greater than a diameter of the opening in the case; the filter element further comprises a protrusion formed on a side of the extension opposite the cap and wherein the protrusion extends from the extension in a direction opposite the cap; the protrusion has a circular profile with a diameter that is smaller than the largest diameter portion of the extension; the protrusion is surrounded by the largest diameter portion of the extension; and the largest diameter portion of the extension has a diameter that is larger than a diameter of the opening in the case and wherein a diameter of the protrusion is smaller than the diameter of the opening (see figure above).

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 14-16, 18-21 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoo (388) in view of Lee US 6 167 733. Regarding claim 14, Yoo

teaches a washing machine comprising a cabinet (1) a drum (27) and a filter assembly provided in a cabinet comprising: a filter case comprising: an inlet (24) and an outlet (25) on a circumference and an opening inside to make the inlet and outlet communicate with each other, and a filter loaded in the filter case, the filter comprising: a cap (12) fitted to the filter case, a shaft (18) extending from a bottom of the cap, and a solid walled extension (19) extending from an end of the shaft, the extension disposed to confront the opening to filter particles in the water, the extension having a protrusion (20) protruding from a side of the extension opposite the cap (fig. 4 and 5). Yoo does not teach a tube provided in the cabinet, a drum rotatably installed in the tub and a filter assembly provided in the cabinet to filter water discharged from the tub. Lee teaches a washing machine with a cabinet, a tub provided in the cabinet, a drum rotatably installed in the tub and a filter assembly provided in the cabinet to filter water discharged from the tub (col. 1, lines 24-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the filter of Yoo and the washing machine of Lee in order to remove impurities contained in the liquid discharged from the tub (col. 1, lines 54-56 Lee).

Regarding claims 15-16, 18-21 and 33 Yoo further teaches the circumferential cross-section of the protrusion is a closed curve (fig. 4); the protrusion has a ring type circumferential cross-section (fig. 4); a diameter of an end portion of the extension is equal to or greater than a diameter of the opening (fig. 5); the filter case is cylindrical (fig. 4); the inlet is provided to the circumference of the filter case in a tangential direction (fig. 4 and 5); the filter case comprises a tube having an inlet and outlet on the

circumference, a partition wall provided in the tube to partition an internal space of the tube into first and second chambers communicating with the inlet and outlet and the opening perforating the partition wall (see figure above); and the protrusion extends from the extension in a direction opposite the cap (fig. 5).

7. Claims 14, 17, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beier (629) in view of Lee (733). Regarding claim 14, Beier teaches a washing machine with a filter assembly comprising: a filter case (1) having an inlet (4) and an outlet (5) on a circumference and an opening inside, and a filter loaded in the filter case the filter comprising a cap (20) fitted to the filter case, a shaft (11) extending from a bottom of the cap, and a solid walled extension extending from an end of the shaft the extension disposed to confront the opening to filter particles in the water, the extension having a protrusion protruding from a side of the extension opposite the cap (fig. 1). Beier does not teach a cabinet, a tub in the cabinet, a drum rotatably installed in the tub and the filter assembly in a cabinet. Lee teaches a washing machine with a cabinet, a tub provided in the cabinet, a drum rotatably installed in the tub and a filter assembly provided in the cabinet to filter water discharged from the tub (col. 1, lines 24-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the filter of Beier and the washing machine of Lee in order to remove impurities contained in the liquid discharged from the tub (col. 1, lines 54-56 Lee).

Regarding claims 17, 33 and 34, Beier further teaches the extension has a funnel figure; the protrusion extends from the extension in a direction opposite the cap; and a largest diameter portion of the extension surrounds the protrusion (fig. 1).

***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin Kurtz whose telephone number is 571-272-8211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

  
W. L. WALKER  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bk 9/12/2006